UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,452	11/24/2003	Scott Gubler	48939-01070	4084
	7590 03/17/200 ERTS & OWEN, LLP	EXAMINER		
299 SOUTH MAIN			CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE	
SUITE 1800 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/720,452	GUBLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Renee Claytor	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 33-41 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accessory	rn from consideration. relection requirement.	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/30/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-32, in the reply filed on 12/7/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the subject matter between the two groups does not require a serious burden because a search for the subject matter of one group would encompass a search for subject matter of the remaining claims. This is not found persuasive because the process of a making a tocopherol product can be accomplished by another and materially different process, such as performing different heating or mixing steps.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 9-32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hunsicker et al. (US Patent 6,130,343).

Hunsicker et al. teaches a method for making a tocopherol product which comprises providing an amount of tocopherol succinate and binder (Col. 3, lines 1-5). The process involves spray-coating the tocopheryl succinate with a solution containing a binder in a fluidized-bed granulation apparatus (Col. 3, lines 11-20). Water is typically

Application/Control Number: 10/720,452 Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

employed as the solvent, though it is taught that organic solvents can also be used in the solution for spray coating (Col. 4, lines 12-16 and 55-58). Example 1 teaches mixing tocopheryl succinate and a binder and spraying a liquid mixture of the binder and water into the mix all within a fluidized-bed granulation apparatus (Col. 7, lines 17-38). The mixture of the water and the binder is about 10% by weight, which falls within the ranges taught in claims 13-14 (Example 1). The temperature in the granulator has a maximum setting of 30°C, which falls within the ranges taught in claims 15-16 (Example 1). Hunsicker et al. teaches that the product should be maintained in a fluidized state until the desired degree of evaporation has been obtained (Col. 5, lines 50-55). It is also taught that the moisture of the product in the bed is tested periodically (Example 1). The tocopheryl succinate granules can be used in the manufacture of tablets (Col. 6, lines 25-46).

Furthermore, it has been held that merely changing the order of steps in a multistep process is not a patentable modification absent a showing of unexpected results. Ex parte Rubin 128 USPQ 440 (POBA 1959.)

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,452 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 6-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunsicker et al. (US Patent 6,130,343) as applied to claims 1-5 and 9-32 above and further in view of Anderson et al. (US Patent 2,791,584).

Hunsicker et al. teach a method for making a tocopherol product as discussed above.

Hunsicker et al. do not specifically teach heating the water to a temperature above 80 degrees C before spraying the liquid onto the mixture.

Anderson et al. teach a method of heating a binder, in particular a methyl cellulose, in an effort to dissolve the methyl cellulose and have a more uniform distribution of water throughout the mass of methyl cellulose (Col. 2, lines 19-40). It is taught that the treatment is most preferably carried out at a temperature above 70°C. See in particular Example 1 in which methyl cellulose was mixed with water at 80°C.

Accordingly, it would be obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to heat the water of the Hunsicker et al. invention to a temperature above 80 degrees C as taught by Anderson et al. One would be motivated to do this in an effort to completely dissolve the binder in the water in an effort to spray over the tocopheryl succinate.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Contact Information

Application/Control Number: 10/720,452 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renee Claytor whose telephone number is (571)272-8394. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Renee Claytor

/SREENI PADMANABHAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617